

Message

From: Jones, Ricardo [Jones.Ricardo@epa.gov]
Sent: 5/19/2021 1:07:59 PM
To: Overbey, Dian [Overbey.Dian@epa.gov]; Arrington, Linda [Arrington.Linda@epa.gov]; Brinks, Olivia [Brinks.Olivia@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

Thanks, I'll be there.

From: Overbey, Dian <Overbey.Dian@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Arrington, Linda <Arrington.Linda@epa.gov>; Brinks, Olivia <Brinks.Olivia@epa.gov>; Jones, Ricardo <Jones.Ricardo@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA
Importance: High

Hi All,

I'm trying to hold a meeting on this inquiry this afternoon. Hope you can attend. Linda, I will forward the meeting invite to you. The article linked below provides some information about what's going on in Mead.

Thanks,

Dian D. Overbey
Communications Branch
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Potomac Yard S-8927
(703) 305-5018 (O)
(571) 302-0764 (OC)
(202) 460-4875 (Personal Cell)

From: Overbey, Dian
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 3:18 PM
To: Rosenblatt, Daniel <Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Colby, Deanna <colby.deanna@epa.gov>; Eagle, Venus <Eagle.Venus@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA
Importance: High

Hi All,

We received this press inquiry, with a Friday deadline.

You probably all know about what is going on in Mead, Nebraska, but here's a link to an article on it: <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2021/jan/10/mead-nebraska-ethanol-plant-pollution-danger>.

The questions we need to answer are below. The ones highlighted in yellow will hopefully be answered by other parts of the agency.

I'd like to set up a meeting with you to discuss the responses. Who are the people in BEAD, PRD, and HED who should be invited?

Dian

From: Ozmen, Shamus <Ozmen.Shamus@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 8:50 AM

To: Overbey, Dian <Overbey.Dian@epa.gov>; Cyran, Carissa <Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

Hi Dian – Carissa took the lead on noting which divisions can handle each question below. Please review and flag any changes. The items in yellow are for other offices unless you think differently. I've asked for end of this week (Friday) as the deadline.

-NDEE has said EPA is involved now in the Mead situation. What exactly is EPA's roll in the cleanup? Is the agency considering designating AltEn has a Superfund site? (OLEM)

-Seed treatments fall under the treated article exemption in FIFRA. How exactly are pesticides used in seed treatments tracked, monitored or managed differently by EPA (as opposed to foliar-applied pesticides) as a result? (RD?)

-Does the treated article exemption continue to apply for EPA when the pesticides in the treated seed were concentrated in both the water and the solid waste produced at AltEn? Does EPA still maintain this situation falls under the treated seed exemption? (OLEM/OW)

-Does EPA have any information on how much treated seed is discarded each year by industry? Does EPA have any data or research on how pesticide-coated seed can be disposed of safely? **(BEAD?)**

-Does EPA know where all the seed industry's discarded treated seed goes – to what facilities and in what amounts? Is there any federal monitoring of amounts of discarded pesticide-coated seed (like the huge amounts going to AltEn) or any monitoring of water and soils near facilities that dispose of treated seed? **(RD)**

-Does EPA know how much pesticides (both insecticides and fungicides) are applied each year via seed treatment? If not, how can the agency correctly produce risk assessments for human health and the environment for seed treatment active ingredient registrations, such as the recent reviews of neonicotinoids? **(BEAD)**

-Do EPA's risk assessments for the fungicides and insecticides found on treated seed consider the acute and chronic risks to humans and the environment from treated seed disposal methods? Do they consider the possibility of large accumulations of treated seed in landfills and other disposal facilities? **(RD or maybe PRD)**

-Is EPA aware of the growing research, including some from USGS scientists, on how frequently neonicotinoids are found in American waterways? Was this data used in EPA's most recent

registration reviews of neonicotinoids? Does EPA know what this level of regular human exposure to neonicotinoids means for human health? **(PRD)**

-Is EPA doing any research on how frequently fungicide seed treatments might be found or persisting in American waterways and soil? Does EPA have any data on how regular exposure to these active ingredients might mean for human health? **(HED maybe?)**

-Will EPA reconsider the treated article exemption for treated seed, in light of the situation in Mead, Nebraska, the growing data on neonicotinoids found in water, and the continued lack of data on how much pesticides are applied via seed treatments? Or will seed treatments continue to be exempted from full EPA regulation? **(RD)**

-What is the status of a petition for EPA to more fully regulate treated seed, submitted in 2017? (<https://www.epa.gov/petitions/petition-regulate-coated-seeds-under-fifra>) (OGC)

From: Labbe, Ken <Labbe.Ken@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 11:12 AM
To: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; OPS CSID CB <OPS_CSID_CB@epa.gov>; Taylor, Trish <Taylor.Trish@epa.gov>; Colip, Matthew <colip.matthew@epa.gov>
Cc: Harwood, Jackie <Harwood.Jackie@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

Hi all,

Please see inquiry below. Deadline is a bit flexible end of this week or early next week.

Thanks,

Ken

From: Emily Unglesbee <Emily.Unglesbee@dtm.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 11:05 AM
To: Labbe, Ken <Labbe.Ken@epa.gov>
Cc: Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

Well it's a big series, with many ongoing interviews, so the deadlines aren't clear. But, as I noted, responses by the end of this week or early next week would be soon enough for us to include them in our stories.

Emily Unglesbee
DTN Staff Reporter

From: Labbe, Ken <Labbe.Ken@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 10:59 AM
To: Emily Unglesbee <Emily.Unglesbee@dtm.com>
Cc: Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Emily,

What's your deadline please?

From: Emily Unglesbee <Emily.Unglesbee@dtm.com>

Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 10:55 AM

To: Labbe, Ken <Labbe.Ken@epa.gov>

Subject: Questions on Treated Seed for EPA

Hi Ken,

Here at DTN we are working on a series of stories on the environmental crisis unfolding in Mead, Nebraska due to mismanagement of discarded treated seed. One part of the coverage will focus on what is happening specifically at AltEn in Mead. Another part will take a broader look at the use of treated seed in the agricultural industry over the past 10 to 15 years. We have several questions for EPA. Our deadlines are shifting, and I know these are a lot of questions, but if we could get responses by the end of this week or early next week, that would be very much appreciated. Thanks!

Questions:

-NDEE has said EPA is involved now in the Mead situation. What exactly is EPA's role in the cleanup? Is the agency considering designating AltEn has a Superfund site?

-Seed treatments fall under the treated article exemption in FIFRA. How exactly are pesticides used in seed treatments tracked, monitored or managed differently by EPA (as opposed to foliar-applied pesticides) as a result?

-Does the treated article exemption continue to apply for EPA when the pesticides in the treated seed were concentrated in both the water and the solid waste produced at AltEn? Does EPA still maintain this situation falls under the treated seed exemption?

-Does EPA have any information on how much treated seed is discarded each year by industry? Does EPA have any data or research on how pesticide-coated seed can be disposed of safely?

-Does EPA know where all the seed industry's discarded treated seed goes – to what facilities and in what amounts? Is there any federal monitoring of amounts of discarded pesticide-coated seed (like the huge amounts going to AltEn) or any monitoring of water and soils near facilities that dispose of treated seed?

-Does EPA know how much pesticides (both insecticides and fungicides) are applied each year via seed treatment? If not, how can the agency correctly produce risk assessments for human health and the environment for seed treatment active ingredient registrations, such as the recent reviews of neonicotinoids?

-Do EPA's risk assessments for the fungicides and insecticides found on treated seed consider the acute and chronic risks to humans and the environment from treated seed disposal methods? Do they consider the possibility of large accumulations of treated seed in landfills and other disposal facilities?

-Is EPA aware of the growing research, including some from USGS scientists, on how frequently neonicotinoids are found in American waterways? Was this data used in EPA's most recent registration reviews of neonicotinoids? Does EPA know what this level of regular human exposure to neonicotinoids means for human health?

-Is EPA doing any research on how frequently fungicide seed treatments might be found or persisting in American waterways and soil? Does EPA have any data on how regular exposure to these active ingredients might mean for human health?

-Will EPA reconsider the treated article exemption for treated seed, in light of the situation in Mead, Nebraska, the growing data on neonicotinoids found in water, and the continued lack of data on how much pesticides are applied via seed treatments? Or will seed treatments continue to be exempted from full EPA regulation?

-What is the status of a petition for EPA to more fully regulate treated seed, submitted in 2017?

(<https://www.epa.gov/petitions/petition-regulate-coated-seeds-under-fifra>)

Emily Unglesbee
Staff Reporter, DTN

DTN/Progressive Farmer
Phone: (402)-637-3295

www.dtnpf.com

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any e-mail reply to this address may be subject to interception or monitoring for operational reasons or for lawful business practices

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any e-mail reply to this address may be subject to interception or monitoring for operational reasons or for lawful business practices